

**From:** [laura gibbons](#)  
**To:** [Commission-Public-Records](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Written-Only testimony for the Port Commission meeting 2/8/22  
**Date:** Monday, February 7, 2022 9:53:51 AM

---

**WARNING:** External email. Links or attachments may be unsafe.

Hi Michelle,

This is for written-only testimony for tomorrow's port meeting. When you summarize (I understand now that you can't read the whole thing), please tell them that **their plans in Item 11a to promote an increase in aviation via the SAMP are incompatible with their goals of reducing carbon emissions and protecting the health of airport communities.**

Commissioners,

This is a comment on item 11a, the report on **2022 Local, Regional and Community Engagement Policy Priorities and Partnerships**. You have some conflicting plans you need to resolve.

You plan to "Promote the SAMP ... as key to meeting current needs and supporting future regional growth". This plan which increases aviation is incompatible with several of the other plans in this document, plans I'm totally in favor of:

- Strive to take a coordinated, equitable and ambitious regional approach to address climate change, air quality and lead a clean energy transition across the region.
- With an eye toward environmental justice, support legislative or regulatory policies by King County cities and King County that align with the Port's efforts to reduce carbon emissions, promote energy-efficient operation of transportation infrastructure, buildings, and encourage renewable energy generation.
- Continue noise program community engagement to accelerate implementation of residential and school sound insulation. Partner with the local communities on siting of a temporary noise monitor.
- Continue to partner and engage with the Beacon Hill community equitably, to address issues of concern regarding the effects of Port operations.

I appreciate your focus on reducing carbon emissions and on environmental justice and efforts to potentially mitigate some of the harmful effects of aircraft noise on Beacon Hill and other impacted communities. However, you cannot simultaneously plan for any increase in aviation and still hope to reduce aircraft noise and ultrafine particles in the exhaust that pose a health risk to airport communities or to meet climate goals that are consistent with local, regional, national, and international targets, or even with your plans for Scope 3 carbon emissions.

I submit that you should resolve these conflicting plans in favor of protecting local communities and reducing global warming.

Sincerely,  
Laura Gibbons  
Seattle



**From:** [Anne Kroeker](#)  
**To:** [Commission-Public-Records](#)  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: Public Written Comment for Today's PoS Commission Meeting  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:28:37 AM  
**Attachments:** [PoS Commission 2-08-22 Meeting Comments.pdf](#)

---

Thank you,  
Anne Kroeker

---

**From:** Commission-Public-Records [mailto:commission-public-records@portseattle.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** 'Anne Kroeker' <annek@36524.com>  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] FW: Public Written Comment for Today's PoS Commission Meeting

Good morning,

We are past deadline, are you still planning to send in your written comments?

Best Regards,

Commission Public Records

---

**From:** Anne Kroeker <[annek@36524.com](mailto:annek@36524.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 8:58 AM  
**To:** Commission-Public-Records <[commission-public-records@portseattle.org](mailto:commission-public-records@portseattle.org)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] FW: Public Written Comment for Today's PoS Commission Meeting

**WARNING:** External email. Links or attachments may be unsafe.

Corrected email

---

**From:** Anne Kroeker [<mailto:annek@36524.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 8:56 AM  
**To:** 'commission-publicrecords@portseattle.org' <[commission-publicrecords@portseattle.org](mailto:commission-publicrecords@portseattle.org)>  
**Subject:** Public Written Comment for Today's PoS Commission Meeting

I am in the midst of finishing it, but wanted to let you know that I should have it to you, a little after the 9 AM deadline for public comment requests.

Thank you.

Anne Kroeker

February 8, 2022

Port of Seattle Commissioner's Meeting – Submitted Written Public Comment

Re: Agenda Item 11a - 2022 Local, Regional and Community Engagement Policy Priorities and Partnerships Briefing

Dear Port of Seattle Commissioners:

Referring to the 2 categories within the Briefing presented today, as I have included below, I would like to point out that neither of them include sustainability nor equity terminology or goals within their intentions as written. No full community impact, environmental or economical, especially to the ones who carry the full brunt of the pollution and disruption, is explored or even mentioned. It is as if, development of infrastructure, based on fossil-fuel and extraction, as done in the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries, can continue as always, with no thought of immediate or future other consequences. Indeed, absence of thought does not indicate absence of malice. It would behoove the Port to review these 2 categories, with a full environmental and justice impact perspective overview, as our new State HEAL Act requires, and not think that separating them into their own categories, solves any of the issues.

The SAMP is an oxymoron as “sustainable” by definition does not mean Near-Term. And to have this plan include an increase of passengers to 56 million in 2027, with an expectation of 66 million in 2034, never mind cargo and general aviation increases, while never having dealt with the mitigation of the tremendous increase of aircraft operations from 2008 with the addition of the 3<sup>rd</sup> runway, is truly an abomination of the word “sustainable”.

I would like to refer you to the Study conducted by the City of Federal Way in 2018, called the Quiet and Healthy Skies Task Force Report, with the accompanying memo, updating it for 2022, which outlines well the issues of our unbridled airport operations expansions, past and proposed.



8-2-18 Report of Federal Way Mayor's



2-7-2022 memo summarizing Quiet a

(As the pdf's are not the original documents, the links are not live and the format is not crisp. Originals are available if you wish.)

Thank you for your time and consideration of the above matters,

Anne Kroecker, Des Moines resident and member, 350 WA Aviation Team

Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Near-Term Projects (NTP): Inform and engage elected officials, public agencies, interested communities and other stakeholders on the 2022 release of the draft federal and state environmental review documents for the proposed SAMP Near-Term Projects (NTP) to address passenger and cargo demand, FAA standards, airfield efficiency, and the need for additional fuel storage. Promote the SAMP, together with other portwide initiatives, as key to meeting current needs and supporting future regional growth and economic opportunities to neighboring airport communities and across the region.

Industrial Lands: Support local policies and plans that are durable and resistant to piecemeal zoning and development changes; stimulate new compatible investment in industrial lands; continue to make public investments that support Manufacturing and Industrial Centers; and that strengthen zoning to ensure that noncompatible land uses and activities are not located next to industrial lands. Support local land use and transportation policies, as well as mechanisms that maintain or enhance maritime and manufacturing lands, and the critical road and rail infrastructure required for freight mobility. Advocate strongly for legislative and regulatory decisions that preserve industrial lands, enhance freight mobility, allow for the anticipated development of marine cargo, industrial, and transportation facilities and support the growth of related living wage jobs.

**From:** [Janet Lenart](#)  
**To:** [Commission-Public-Records](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Re: comments for the Port of Seattle Commission meeting 2/8/22  
**Date:** Saturday, February 5, 2022 3:31:49 PM

---

**WARNING:** External email. Links or attachments may be unsafe.

On Sat, Feb 5, 2022 at 3:29 PM Janet Lenart <[janartjanet@gmail.com](mailto:janartjanet@gmail.com)> wrote:  
To the Port of Seattle Commissioners

I am commenting on item 11a, **2022 Local, Regional and Community Engagement Policy Priorities and Partnerships.**

The two sentences below (come from different sections of the document) and are incompatible. You cannot simultaneously plan for any increase in aviation and still hope to meet climate goals that are consistent with local, regional, national, and international targets, or even with your plans for Scope 3 carbon emissions. Please change your plan to reflect a priority on climate goals. Thank you.

Janet Lenart

Seattle 98136

"Promote the SAMP, together with other portwide initiatives, as key to meeting current needs and supporting future regional growth and economic opportunities to neighboring airport communities and across the region."

"Strive to take a coordinated, equitable and ambitious regional approach to address climate change, air quality and lead a clean energy transition across the region."

**From:** [Bernedine Lund](#)  
**To:** [Commission-Public-Records](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] public comment for today's Commission's meeting  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 8:11:07 AM  
**Attachments:** [2-8-2022 POS Commissioner meeting.pdf](#)

---

**WARNING:** External email. Links or attachments may be unsafe.

Hi - attached is a copy of my public comment for today's meeting. I have a dental appt and need to leave about 1 PM and will be on the call until then. Could you summarize the comment if you don't get to me in time?

Thanks,

Bernedine Lund

POS Commissioner's Meeting, Feb 8, 2022, Public Comment by Bernedine Lund, resident of Federal Way and volunteer for 350 Seattle aviation group

Topic: Item 11a – Briefing on 2022 local, regional, and community engagement policy priorities

The information in the Item 11a memo is a summary of PoS goals written by several different PoS staff. As such each paragraph carries a view of the priorities for the various groups represented. However, there are places where the statements do not agree with one another, leaving the reader to wonder about the intent.

For example, the paragraph on page 2 titled Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) Near-Term Projects (NTP) states that one goal is to “Promote the SAMP, together with other portwide initiatives, as key to meeting current needs and supporting future regional growth and economic opportunities...” Then on the next page the first paragraph titled Climate....and Air Action Plan (MCCAP)...” states that the plan is to “strive to take a coordinated, equitable and ambitious regional approach to address climate change, air quality and lead a clean energy transition across the region.”

Anyone who has studied the issues and complaints about airports knows that the two statements written above conflict with each other. It is not feasible to both increase flights while at the same time meet the climate goals at the local and national levels.

Over all, these and other conflicting statements show that the staff may not agree on the overall approach to climate change and airport responsibility the same way. The various units need to be given the same message and goals so they can agree on the priorities.